Extending ORNL HPDM Capabilities for Design and Optimization of New Refrigerant Blends – FY19 2nd Quarter (Regular) Milestone Report: Literature Review of Heat Transfer and Pressure Drop Correlations for HFO blends in Water Heating application



Bo Shen **03/31/2019**

Approved for public release. Distribution is unlimited.

DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY

Reports produced after January 1, 1996, are generally available free via US Department of Energy (DOE) SciTech Connect.

Website http://www.osti.gov/scitech/

Reports produced before January 1, 1996, may be purchased by members of the public from the following source:

National Technical Information Service 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, VA 22161 *Telephone* 703-605-6000 (1-800-553-6847) *TDD* 703-487-4639 *Fax* 703-605-6900

E-mail info@ntis.gov

Website http://www.ntis.gov/help/ordermethods.aspx

Reports are available to DOE employees, DOE contractors, Energy Technology Data Exchange representatives, and International Nuclear Information System representatives from the following source:

Office of Scientific and Technical Information PO Box 62
Oak Ridge, TN 37831 *Telephone* 865-576-8401 *Fax* 865-576-5728 *E-mail* reports@osti.gov

Website http://www.osti.gov/contact.html

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

BTO Project 3.2.2.26 FY19 1st Quarter Milestone Report

Extending ORNL HPDM Capabilities for Design and Optimization of New Refrigerant Blends – FY19 2nd Quarterly Milestone Report:
Literature Review of Heat Transfer and Pressure Drop Correlations for HFO Blends in Water Heating Application

Author Bo Shen

Date: 03/31/2019

Prepared by
OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY
Oak Ridge, TN 37831-6283
managed by
UT-BATTELLE, LLC
for the
US DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
under contract DE-AC05-00OR22725

Literature review of heat transfer and pressure drop correlations for HFO blends in water heating application (Regular Milestone)

Executive Summary

Based on a comprehensive literature review, the two-phase heat transfer and pressure drop correlations, best suitable for modelling the next generation HFO blends in brazed plate heat exchangers and fluted tubein-tube heat exchangers, were identified. The selected correlations have been incorporated to the segmentto-segment (finite volume) heat exchanger models in the HPDM library. Details are described below.

Two-Phase Heat Transfer in Brazed Plate Heat Exchangers

Boiling

Giovanni et al. (2015a) presented a new model for refrigerant flow boiling inside Brazed Plate Heat Exchangers (BPHEs) based on a set of 251 experimental data. The data covered HFC refrigerants (HFC236a, HFC134a, HFC410A), HC refrigerants (HC600a-Isobutane, HC290-Propane, HC1270-Propylene), and also a new low Global Warming Potential (GWP) HFO refrigerant (HFO1234yf). The new model works for nucleate and convective boiling. A set of 505 experimental data by different laboratories, were used to validate the new model, including HFC134a, HFC410A, HFC507A and HCFC22 with different plate geometries.

Two-phase evaporation of BPHEs comprises two mechanisms, i.e. nucleate boiling and convective boiling, where nucleate boiling is dominated by heat flux and convective boiling is controlled by mass flux and quality. The Thonon et al. (1997) criterion is used to separate the two boiling regimes, as given below:

$$Bo = q/(G * \Delta h_{LV}) \tag{Eq.1}$$

$$Bo = q/(G * \Delta h_{LV})$$
 (Eq.1)

$$X_{tt} = \left[\frac{1 - X_m}{X_m}\right]^{0.9} (\rho_G/\rho_L)^{0.5} (\mu_G/\mu_L)^{0.1}$$
 (Eq.2)

Where Bo is dimensionless boiling number. q is heat flux. G is mass flux. Δh_{LV} is refrigerant vaporization heat. X_{tt} is dimensionless Martinelli number. X_m is vapor quality. ρ_G and ρ_L are refrigerant vapor and liquid density, respectively. μ_G and μ_L are refrigerant vapor and liquid viscosity, respectively.

When $BoX_{tt} > 0.15e - 3$, it is dominated by nucleate boiling. When $BoX_{tt} < 0.15e - 3$, it is dominated by convective boiling.

In convective boiling regime, the heat transfer coefficient is calculated as:

$$h_{cb} = 0.122 * Ø * (k_l/d_h)Re_{eq}^{0.8}Pr_L^{1/3}$$
 (Eq.3)

Where \emptyset is brazed plate surface enlargement factor, k_l is the liquid thermal conductivity, d_h is the channel hydraulic diameter, Pr_L is the liquid Prandtl number, Re_{eq} is equivalent Reynolds number.

$$Re_{eq} = G\left[(1 - x) + x \left(\frac{\rho_L}{\rho_G} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] d_h / \mu_L$$
 (Eq.4)

In the pool boiling dominated regime, the heat transser coefficient considers impacts of heat flux, reduced pressure and plate surface roughness.

$$h_{nb} = 0.58 * Ø * h_0 * C_{Ra} * F(P^*) * (q/q^0)^{0.467}$$
 (Eq.5)

Where C_{Ra} represents the effect of plate surface roughness $C_{Ra} = (R_a/0.4)^{0.1333}$, R_a is the surface roughness [µm]. $(q/q^0)^{0.467}$ represents the heat flux term, $q^0 = 20,000$. $F(P^*)$ represents the effect of reduced pressure.

$$F(P^*) = 1.2 * P^{*0.27} + \left[2.5 + \frac{1}{1 - P^*}\right]P^*$$
 (Eq.6)

 P^* is the reduced pressure, i.e. the refrigerant local pressure divided by its critial pressure. h_0 is a base pool boiling coefficient specific to each individual refrigerant type. It can be calculated using Cooper correlation.

$$h_0 = 55P_0^* (0.12 - 0.2log10(0.4)) (-log10(P_o^*))^{-0.55} q_0^{0.67} M^{-0.5}$$
 (Eq.7)

Where $P_0^* = 0.1$, $q_0 = 20000$, M is the refrigerant molar weight [g/mole]

Condensation

Based on the study of Giovanni et al. (2015b), condension in brazed plate heat exchangers are dominated by two mechnisms, including gravity-controlled region and forced convection region. The criterion to separate the two regions is the two phase Renolds number as given in Equation 4. When $Re_{eq} < 1600$, it is the forced convection region; $Re_{eq} > 1600$, it is the gravity-controlled region.

In a gravity-controlled region, the average condensation coefficien along the flow channel is:

$$h_{arav,ave} = 0.943 * \emptyset * [(k_L^3 \mu_L^2 g h_{LV})/(\mu_L \Delta T L)]^{1/4}$$
 (Eq.8)

Where ΔT is the temperatue difference between the plate surface and the refrigerant saturation. L is the plate flow channel length. Pr_I is the liquid phase Prandtl number. In a forced convection region,

$$h_{fc} = 1.875 * Ø * (k_l/d_h)Re_{eq}^{0.445}Pr_L^{1/3}$$
 (Eq.9)

Heat Transfer and Pressure Drop in Fluted Tube-in-Tube Heat Exchangers

Rousseau et al. (2003) modelled and validated a tube-in-tube condenser with complex fluted geometries. They proposed a method to calcualte two-phase heat transfer respectively at the inner tube and annualar sides, which is to scale the heat transfer of single-phase heat transer in a smooth tube using two ratios, one accounts for geometry factor i.e. friction pressure drop or single-phase heat transfer in a fluted tube versus a smooth tube and, the other account for two-phase heat transfer versus single-phase heat transfer in a smooth tube.

The geometrical factors below are specific to fluted tubes:

$$D_{vi} = \sqrt{\frac{4Vol}{\pi L}}$$
 (Eq.10)

Where D_{vi} is the tube side volume-based diameter, Vol is the tube side volume, L is the coiled tube length. The volume based outside diameter of the fluted tube is calculated as:

$$D_{vo} = D_{vi} + 2t \tag{Eq.11}$$

t is the wall thickness.

The flute angle is calculated as:
$$\theta = \arctan\left(\frac{\pi D_{vo}}{Np}\right) \tag{Eq.12}$$

Where N is the number of flutes, p is the flute pitch.

Condensation

Annular side:

The single-phase friction coefficient of helical coil is calculated using Das (1993).

$$f_{helical} = 4[0.079Re_v^{-0.25} + 0.075 \left(\frac{D_{ho}}{D_{vo}}\right)^{0.5} + 17.5782Re_v^{-0.3137} \left(\frac{D_{ho}}{D_{vo}}\right)^{0.3621} (e/D_{ho})^{0.6885}]$$
(Eq.13)

Where Re_v is the vapor phase Reynolds number at the total mass flow rate based and annual raide hydraulic diameter. $D_{ho} = D_{o,i} - D_{vo}$ is the annualar hydraulic diameter, given by the difference between the inside diameter of the outer tube and the outside volumetric diameter. e is the flute depth.

The friction coefficient of a straight tube is adopted from Swamee and Jain (1976).

$$f_{straight} = 0.25/(log10(\frac{r/D_{ho}}{3.7} + 5.74/Re_v^{0.9}))^{2.0}$$
 (Eq.14)

Where r is the tube surface roughness. Thus, the heat transfe enhancement due to the fluted geometry is

$$r_h = f_{helical}/f_{straight}$$
 (Eq.15)

According to the Shah correlation, the two-phase condensation enhancement factor is calcualted as:
$$r_{tp} = (1-x)^{0.8} + \frac{3.8x^{0.76}(1-x)^{0.04}}{p_{T}^{0.38}} \tag{Eq.16}$$

If use Dittus-Boelter correlation to calculate single-phase heat transfer in a stright tube, the two-phase condensation heat transfer at the annular side is given as:

$$h_{tp} = 0.023 Re_l^{0.8} Pr_l^{0.4} k_l / D_{ho} r_h r_{tp}$$
 (Eq.17)

Where Re_v is the vapor phase Reynolds number at the total mass flow rate based and annualar side hydraulic diameter.

Tube side:

Based on the work by Arnold and Christensen et al. (1993), the helical tube side single-phase heat transfer (Nusselts number) is:

When $Re_1 \leq 5000$

$$Nu_{flute} = 0.014 Re_{l}^{0.842} (e^{*})^{-0.067} (p^{*})^{-0.293} (\theta^{*})^{-0.705} Pr^{0.4}$$
 (Eq.18)

When $Re_l > 5000$

$$Nu_{flute} = 0.064 Re_{l}^{0.773} (e^{*})^{-0.242} (p^{*})^{-0.108} (\theta^{*})^{-0.599} Pr^{0.4}$$
 (Eq.19)

Where $e^* = flute \ depth/D_{vi}, p^* = flute \ pitch/D_{vi}, \theta^* = \theta/(\pi/2)$.

At tube side, the single-phase heat transfer enhancement factor due to the fluted geometry is $r_h = Nu_{flute}/$ $Nu_{straight}$. And $Nu_{straight}$ can be calculated using the Dittus-Boelter corrlation.

Evaporation

Huang et al. (2014) modelled and validated a fluted tube-in-tube evaporator. They correlated single-phase heat transfer as below:

$$Nu_{lo} = \frac{f_{lo}/Re_{lo}Pr}{1 + 9.77 \left(p_r^{\frac{2}{3}} - 1\right) \sqrt{\frac{f_{lo}}{8}}} Re_{lo}^{-0.2} (e^*)^{-0.32} (p^*)^{-0.28} (r^*)^{-1.64} \quad \text{(Eq.20)}$$

When $Re_{lo} \leq 800$, the liquid friction pressure drop coefficient is calculated as:

$$f_{lo} = 96(r^*)^{0.035} / Re_{lo}(1 + 101.7) Re_{lo}^{0.52} (e^*)^{1.65 + 2\theta^*} (r^*)^{5.77}$$
 (Eq.21)

When $Re_{lo} \leq 40000$

$$f_{lo} = 4 \left[1.7372 \ln \left(\frac{Re_{lo}}{1.964 \ln (Re_{lo}) - 3.8215} \right) \right]^{-2} (1 + 0.0925r^*) e_l \qquad \text{(Eq.22)}$$

$$e_l = 1 + 222 Re_{lo}^{0.09} (e^*)^{2.4} (p^*)^{-0.49} (\theta^*)^{-0.38} (r^*)^{2.22} \text{(Eq.23)}$$

Where $r^* = D_{vo}/D_{o.i.}$

They used Kandlikar (1991) to calcualte two-phase evaporation heat transfer.

The nucleate boiling term:

$$h_{nb} = 0.6683Co^{-0.2}(1-x)^{0.8}h_{lo} + 1058Bo^{0.7}(1-x)^{0.8}F_{fl}h_{lo}$$
 (Eq.24)

The convective boiling term:

$$h_{cb} = 1.136Co^{-0.9}(1-x)^{0.8}h_{lo} + 667.2Bo^{0.7}(1-x)^{0.8}F_{fl}h_{lo}$$
 (Eq.25)

Where $Co = (1 - x)^{0.8} \left(\frac{\rho_g}{\rho_l}\right)^{0.5}$ is the convective dimension less number.

 F_{fl} is empirial parameter specific to each individual refrigerant type. If not known, $F_{fl} = 1.0$.

The overall boiling coefficient is the larger value between the nucleate boiling and convective boiling.

$$h_{evap} = max(h_{nb}, h_{cb}) (Eq.26)$$

References:

Giovanni A. Longo, Simone Mancin, Giulia Righetti, Claudio Zilio, A new model for refrigerant boiling inside Brazed Plate Heat Exchangers (BPHEs), International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, Volume 91, 2015a, Pages 144-149.

Giovanni A. Longo, Giulia Righetti, Claudio Zilio, A new computational procedure for refrigerant condensation inside herringbone-type Brazed Plate Heat Exchangers, International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, Volume 82, 2015b, Pages 530-536.

B. Thonon, A. Feldman, L. Margat, C. Marvillet, Transition from nucleate boiling to convective boiling in compact heat exchangers, Int. J. Refrig. 20 (1997) 592–597.

Rousseau, P.G., Van Eldik, M., Greyvenstein, G.P., 2003. Detailed simulation of fluted tube water heating condensers. Int. J. Refrigeration 26, 232-239.

Swamee PK, Jain AK. Explicit equations for pipe-flow problems. J Hydraulic Div Proc ASCE 1976:657–64.

Das SK. Water flow through helical coils in turbulent condition. The Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering 1993;71:971–3.

Shah MM. A general correlation for heat transfer during film condensation inside pipes. International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer 1979;22:547–56.

Kandlikar, S.G., 1991. A model for correlating flow boiling heat transfer in Augmented tubes and compact evaporators. Trans. ASME, J. Heat Transf. 113, 966e972.

Long Huang, Vikrant Aute, Reinhard Radermacher,

A finite volume coaxial heat exchanger model with moving boundaries and modifications to correlations for two-phase flow in fluted annuli, International Journal of Refrigeration, Volume 40, 2014, Pages 11-23.